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Besides, sir, in all cases where it is necessary that a resignation should be sent, it is equally necessary that it should be received, and as important that it should be accepted, to dispel the officer to retire quietly. But to contend for this proceeding in all cases would put it completely in the power of the heads of Departments, by refusing to accept, or by omitting to acknowledge, the receipt of a resignation, to prevent any one who held an office from taking his seat as a member of Congress. Now, sir, will it be contended that the President, or the Secretary, or the Commissioner (neither of whom possesses to recognize me as an assessor) intended, by saying in their report, "that no resignation had been received from Mr. Mumford," to fix upon him the stigma of having violated the constitution and his oath, and to deprive him of his reputation, and his constituents of the Representative of their choice? Impossible. If I am asked why the resolution required information "whether any offices were at that time [December 1]" held, and why, by the answer given, "that no resignation had been received from Mr. Mumford," it is left to be inferred that he is yet in office, I could answer, that it would not have been proper for the President (or the Secretary of State) to have expressed an opinion on the matter, unless the fact, viz., that Mr. Mumford had been appointed to an office heretofore, and that no resignation had been received. He could not with propriety say whether a resignation was or was not necessary; nor (when the extent of the question is understood) could it be expected that he would answer as to whether any of the members held offices at that time: so much so that it should be drawn from the report or either of these points. Suppose, sir, that I had held an office after the 4th of March; what then? I was not elected as a Representative until August. But suppose that I had held an office up to the 1st of December: does it follow that I held it up to the 12th, and that I continue to hold it now? Does my having held prove that I do hold? Do you ask when I became a member? When does a man become a witness, or a juror, or a husband? Can they become so in an instant? Can you make a mathematical point? Is a man married until the last ceremony is performed? Yet the law only recognizes as a wife the bride and have not witnesses and jurors and Representative privileges also? When does a quill become a pen? Before you put your knife to it, it is a quill; at the instant that it is nibbed, it is a pen, and not before.

But, after all, it may be asked, what great object of state policy is expected to result from knowing the offices, the term of appointment of, acceptance of, and resignation by persons who are new members of Congress? Some inveterate person might suppose that it was intended that Mr. Mumford (who was appointed, accepted, and served to the end, in the unthankful and laborious office of principal assessor, and who, after having so served, had received, in his election to a seat in Congress, the reward due only to the faithful) should be so held up. But inasmuch as there is another way of vacating an office besides dying, resigning, and dismissing, as there is such a thing as political death as to an office without political disgrace, and as the office which being held has become vacant in that way, it would seem to become the man who has resigned, and not the member who has been appointed. Now, sir, I ask you, was it an intended or expected that he would have been touched in this business. Sir, I believe it; I am convinced that he was not thought of when that resolution was introduced and passed; but the ill-natured will not be disposed to view it in favorably, which leaves it to be lamented that a stone should have been thrown in the dark. Only suppose, sir, that, instead of looking back, that resolution had looked forward, and, instead of asking the President to tell how many of the members he was secretly and constitutionally keeping in office, (for this is really the question), it had been required of him to communicate whether any, and to which of the members of the House of Representatives the seat was promised, and the time of the promise, whether it was to be accepted, and how far a right to a seat was afforded thereby—this stone would not have fallen on my head. Sir, the cautious had better look forward for danger than backward. Being convinced that it could not have been intended to charge me with a wrong by a resolution in which I am not named, nor to find me guilty by a report that does not say that I hold an office, I shall rest my case here. Indeed, sir, I believe I should have paid a better compliment to your understanding, and to that of the House, if I had rested it in silence; and I should have done so, but that the language of the resolution, affecting to be the language of the House, made it my duty to treat it with more attention. Sir, I became a member of Congress on Monday, the 1st day of December. I have held no office, nor have I discharged the duties of any since; nor have I held or discharged the duties of any since I became officially informed of my election. And as I posses all the qualifications prescribed by the constitution, I trust that you will so report.

Very respectfully, I am, sir, yours, &c.

GEO. MUMFORD.

To the Hon. Mr. Taylor, Chairman of the Committee of Elections.

15th Congress.] No. 446. [1st Session.

AMENDMENT PROPOSED TO THE CONSTITUTION IN RELATION TO TITLES OF NOBILITY, &c."

WASHINGTON, February 4, 1818.

To the House of Representatives:

Pursuant to a resolution of the House of Representatives of the 31st of December last, requesting information of the number of States which had ratified the thirteenth article of the amendments to the constitution of the United States, I transmit to the House a detailed report from the Secretary of State, which contains all the information that has been received upon that subject.

No time will be lost in communicating to the House the answers of the Governors of the States of South Carolina and Virginia to the inquiries stated by the Secretary of State to have been recently addressed to them, when they are received at that Department.

JAMES MONROE.
DEPARTMENT OF STATE, February 3, 1818.

The Secretary of State, to whom was referred a resolution of the House of Representatives of the 31st of December last, requesting information of the number of States which have ratified the thirteenth article of the amendments to the constitution of the United States proposed at the second session of the eleventh Congress, has the honor respectfully to report to the President that it appears, by authentic documents on file in the office of the Department of State, that the said article was ratified—
1. By Maryland, on the 25th of December, 1816.
2. By Kentucky, on the 31st of January, 1817.
3. By Ohio, on the 31st of January, 1817.
4. By Delaware, on the 2d of February, 1817.
5. By Pennsylvania, on the 6th of February, 1817.
6. By New Jersey, on the 18th of February, 1817.
7. By Vermont, on the 24th of October, 1817.
8. By Tennessee, on the 21st of November, 1817.
9. By Georgia, on the 15th of December, 1817.
10. By North Carolina, on the 23d of December, 1817.
11. By Massachusetts, on the 27th of February, 1818.
12. By New Hampshire, on the 10th of December, 1812.

That it further appears by authentic documents, also on file, that the said article was rejected—
13. By New York, on the 19th of March, 1812.
14. By Rhode Island, on the 16th of September, 1814.
15. That it was submitted to the Legislature of the State of Connecticut at May session, 1811; but that as late as the 23d of April, 1818, according to a letter of that date from Governor Smith, no final decision had taken place thereon; that, in pursuance of the resolution of the House of Representatives, in conformity to which this report is made, the Secretary of State addressed a letter to the Governor of Connecticut, and enclosed to him therewith a copy of the proposed amendment to the constitution, requesting information as to any final decision in relation to it; and that the answer to said letter, under date of the 23d ultimo, was accompanied by an authenticated copy of resolutions of the General Assembly of that Commonwealth, declaring that the said amendment was not ratified.
16. That, on the 29th November, 1811, a report was made by a committee of the Senate of South Carolina, recommending the adoption of the amendatory article, which report was agreed to, and ordered to be sent to the House of Representatives, in which House a report was also made on the subject on the 7th of December, 1812, recommending the rejection of the said article, but which report does not appear to have been definitely acted upon by that House; that the Secretary of State addressed to the Governor of South Carolina a letter, with a copy of the amendment, of a like tenor and date to that which he addressed to the Governor of Connecticut, to which he has not hitherto received any answer.
17. And that a similar letter, accompanied also by a copy of the amendment, was written by the Secretary of State to the Governor of Virginia, from whom, up to this period, no answer has been received at the Department of State on the subject.

All which is respectfully submitted.

JOHN QUINCY ADAMS.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE, CHARLESTON, FEBRUARY 14, 1818.

Your communication of the 7th ultimo was received in due time; but, owing to my absence from Columbia, it was out of my power to give you the necessary information required at an earlier date. You will now receive, enclosed, authenticated copies of the proceedings of the Legislature of this State upon the proposed amendment of the constitution, of which you enclosed me a copy, by which it will appear that the amendment was not agreed to.

I have the honor to be, with very great respect, your humble servant.

ANDREW PICKENS.

The Hon. JOHN QUINCY ADAMS, Secretary of State of the United States.

Extract from the Journals of the Senate.

November 29, 1811.

The House then took into consideration the following report:—
"The committee to whom was referred the resolution of the Congress of the United States, proposing an amendment to the federal constitution, in the words following: 1. Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, (two-thirds of both Houses concurring,) That the following section be submitted to the Legislatures of the several States, which, when ratified by the Legislatures of three-fourths of the States, shall be valid and binding as a part of the constitution of the United States: If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honor, or shall, without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any Emperor, King, Prince, or foreign Power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of profit or trust under them, or either of them,' beg leave to report: That they have duly considered the foregoing resolution, and are of opinion that it ought to become a part of the constitution of the United States. Your committee, therefore, beg leave to recommend to the Senate to concur in the adoption of the said resolution, and when it is.